

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/710,693	Applicant(s) BHOOSHAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Phallaka Kik	Art Unit 2825	

All Participants:

(1) Phallaka Kik.

(2) Jim Brady (Reg. No. 32,080).

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 June 2006

Time: 4:12 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-48

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In response to the Examiner's indication that the claims are subjected to restriction requirements, Applicant's Representative elected the invention of group I, claims 1-12,25-36 without traverse.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)